

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/449,854	11/26/1999	Maria Grazia Pau	4240US	6774
24247	7590 01/11/2006		EXAMINER	
TRASK BRITT			HILL, MYRON G	
P.O. BOX 255	50			
SALT LAKE	CITY, UT 84110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/449,854	PAU, MARIA GRAZIA	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Myron G. Hill	1648	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 This action is FINAL . 2b) □ To 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal mate	• •	
Disposition of Claims			
4)	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/11/05. 		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

This paper is in response to amendment filed 11 October 2005.

Claims 1-3, 5-16, 25, 27-29, 37, and 38 are under consideration.

Claims 30-34 are canceled as of the amendment filed 4 October 2001, and are not withdrawn as indicated in the current listing of claims.

Information Disclosure Statement

A signed and initialed copy of the IDS paper filed 11 October 2005 is enclosed.

Rejections Withdrawn

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-3, 5-16, 25, 27-29, and 37-38 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear what the metes and bounds of "functional derivative", "analogue", "derived", and "fragment" are.

Applicant has amended the claims and the rejection is withdrawn.

Art Unit: 1648

Claims 11-3, 5-16, 25, 27-29, and 37-38 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method of growing influenza virus in PER.C6 cell, does not reasonably provide enablement for "functional derivative", "analogue", "derived", and "fragment" of E1 and E2A or other viruses and other cell types. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Applicant has amended the claims and the rejection is withdrawn.

Claims 17 and 37 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claim is drawn to a range of viruses to be used in the method of claim 1 and purification by chromatography.

Applicant has amended the claims and the rejection is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 14, 15, 17, 25, and 38 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Manservigi *et al.*

Applicant has amended the claims and the rejection is withdrawn.

Art Unit: 1648

Claims 25-29 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO97/00326.

Applicant has amended the claims and the rejection is withdrawn.

Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 14, and 15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Massie *et al.* (US 5518913).

Applicant has amended the claims and the rejection is withdrawn.

Claims 1-3, 5-16, 25, 27-29, and 37-38 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6855544.

The rejection is withdrawn as the specific invention claimed in the patent is not supported by the provisional application and thus is not prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 13 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as the disclosure is not enabling for the said claims. The claim requires a specific deposited cell. Deposit of the cell would satisfy the enablement requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112.

Claim 27 has been amended to recite the same cell line

Art Unit: 1648

The declaration supplied is correct in form. It is noted that it is not made by the assignee of record. The declaration is from "Crucell" and the assignment on record is "Introgene".

New Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5-17, 25, 27-29, and 35-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6855544.

Applicant's response argues that the patent (in the 102 (e) rejection) does not teach the limitation "infecting cells with influenza virus" and thus does not anticipate the claim.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered and not found persuasive.

The claims are not limited to influenza virus infections but clearly include production of influenza viral proteins, see claim 1, lines 1 and 2 as amended.

The claims of the patent interfere with the pending claims because they claim/recite the same invention of producing influenza viral proteins in a particular cell type. The patent is not available as prior art on the now claimed invention because the

production of influenza proteins is not supported in the provisional application. The date of the provisional is available as prior art under 35 USC 103. The patent claims expression of influenza virus proteins, a declaration under 1.131 cannot be used to swear behind the invention because the patent claims the same as the pending claims.

The provisional application of Hateboer *et al.* teach the expression of proteins in human retinoblast cells including PER.C6 and a cell line that is able to express human proteins well because it glycosylates the protein in a human like way as well as the advantage of the cell that it grows well and is immortalized by the Adenovirus E1 gene (see pages 4 and 5).

The provisional does not teach influenza.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have been motivated use the cells of Hateboer *et al.* for the expression of influenza viral proteins with the expectation of success because the proteins would be properly glycosylated because they are expressed in human cells. One of skill in the art would have the expectation of success because the of the benefits of human glycosylation as taught by Hateboer *et al.* and the high level of skill in the art of protein expression.

Thus, it would be prima facie obvious to express influenza proteins by the method of Hateboer *et al.* with the expectation of success knowing that the cell is very good for expressing heterologous protein in a human cell.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/449,854 Page 7

Art Unit: 1648

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Myron G. Hill whose telephone number is 571-272-0901. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am-5 pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 571-272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Myron G. Hill Patent Examiner 18 October 2005

TEUR LLOSV CENTER 1600